MINUTES OF A CALLED MEETING OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LUFKIN, TEXAS HELD OCTOBER 22, 1940 AT 2:00 P. M.

The City Commission of the City of Lufkin, Texas convened in a called meeting held at the regular meeting place on October 22, 1940 at 2:00 p.m. with the following members present:

245

W.	D.	WINSTO	DN. J	R.		COMM	ISSI	ONER.	WARD	NO.	1.	
R.	Ε.	MELVIN	V			COMM	ISSI	ONER,	WARD	NO.	2.	
G.	Ε.	BARING	TON			COMM	ISSI	ONER,	WARD	NO.	3.	
J.	E.	JAY		e gante	,	COMM	ISSI	ONER,	WARD	NO.	4	
J.	Ŵ.	LEWIS			·	CITY	SEC	RETAR	Ý			
C	L.	STINE	· · ·	· ` .		CITY	MAN	AGER			•• :	,
NE	D SI	HANDS,	JR.			CITY	ATT	ORNEY	•			
				·	. •	9 1 -		e in Anna i	· . ·	. • .		

and the following absent: MAYOR W. M. GLENN; when the following business was had:

(. In view of the fact that Mayor W. M. Glenn was absent on account of sickness, <sup>G</sup>. E. Barington, having heretofore been elected mayor pro tem, called the meeting to order as mayor protem and stated that the **purpose** of the meeting was to conduct a public hearing on the proposed paving assessments. The due and legal notice of this meeting having been properly given by publication and otherwise, all in accordance with law and with the ordinance calling the meeting and setting the date for the public hearing, mayor pro tem Barington stated that the Commission was ready to receive any protests or objections.

2. There being no persons appearing, and while waiting for some to come, the Council discussed the matter of opening North Third Street from Laurel north to Fred Street. Upon motion of W. D. Winston, Jr, seconded by R. E. Melvin, it was resolved to open the street provided that it would not cost over  $$200^{-9}$ . This resolution passed by an affirmative vote of all members present.

3. Boy Satterfield objected to the paving of the alley through block 23 between <sup>T</sup>hird and Fourth Streets for the reason that there was no business conducted on the alley and that he did not think the alley needed paving. However, he said that he needed paving. However, he said that he needed paving how pay and that he would discuss the matter with the other property owners and report to the Council at a later date.

H. Mr. J. B. Perry stated that he was in favor of paving Perishing Street but that he objected to this street being paved 36 feet wide for the reason that he had planted his shrubbery and trees and had established his driveway entrance in conformity with the existing street lines. He stated, this property of his on Perishing Strret being his homestead, he would not sign up for paving if it were to be 36 feet wide.

J. Dr. J. W. Hawkins protested against paving on Perishing Street along his property stating that in view of the way his opinion lots were laid out, it was his open that his property would not be benefitted more than the cost of the paving.

6. Lonnie Hubbert stated that he was in favor of paving Hoskins Street, but that unless Geneva Street was also paved so as to give people on Hoskins a paved outlet to First Street, he could not see the advantage to paving Hoskins Street. The Council agreed to make an effort to secure the necessary right of way in order to connect the paving on Hoskins Street with First Street.

7. Raymond Banowsky, whose property lies partly in the <sup>C</sup>ity Limits and party out of the <sup>C</sup>ity Limits, stated that he did not want to pave only the portion of his lot which lies in the City Limits. <sup>T</sup>he <sup>C</sup>ouncil stated that this matter would be discussed with the contractors and with W. P. A. and that an equitable disposition of the matter would be arrived at.

8. Upon motion of W. D. Winston, Jr., seconded by R. E. Melvin, it was resolved that the City's portion of the paving of the alleythrough blocks 16 and 23 should be paved and that the City would pay the regular price therefor. This motion carried by an affirmative vote of all members present.

9. There being no further objectors appearing, the meeting was adjourned until October 29, 1940 at 2:00 p.m. when the hearing would be continued.

PASSED AND APPROVED This 5th day of November, 1940

ATTEST:

City Sec